

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,758	02/21/2006	Shingo Sugahara	Q93312	6666
23373 7590 99/10/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W.			BELLINGER, JASON R	
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	, - 0 - 0 - 0		3617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/568,758 SUGAHARA, SHINGO Office Action Summary Art Unit Examiner Jason R. Bellinger 3617 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 June 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 6 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 June 2008 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patient Drawing Review (PTO-948) Histogramson's Draftsperson's Citement(s) (PTC/GB/K/B) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Action of Informal Pater LApplication 6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/568,758 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 26 June 2008. These drawings are approved.

2. Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Applicant has added a legend of "Related Art" to Figures 1-2. However, this label should be replaced with the legend --Prior Art-- for the reasons set forth above, given the fact that the legend of "Related Art" could be construed to mean that drawing figures labeled as such show various embodiments of the instant invention instead of previous inventions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action. Application/Control Number: 10/568,758

Art Unit: 3617

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over laid open Japanese Utility Model 56-95983 in view of Satzler. The laid open reference 56-95983 shows an endless track having an adjustment mechanism located on a roller wheel 7 having all of the limitations as set forth in the claims, except for the following:

The laid open reference 56-95983 does not show the adjustment mechanism located on the roller wheel (unlabelled) located closest to the drive wheel 1. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the adjustment mechanism on any or all of the rolling wheels, for the purpose of preventing the track from coming off of the wheels during use (i.e. while cornering), and to reduce wear on all components during changes of direction (which would reduce maintenance costs).

The laid open reference 56-95983 also does not show an adjustment mechanism located on the idler wheel. Satzler teaches the use of an adjustment mechanism 38 located on an idler wheel 26. This adjustment mechanism 38 of Satzler meets all of the limitations of claim 4. Therefore from this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide the idler wheel of the laid open reference 56-95983 with an adjustment mechanism in order to prevent the endless track from becoming misaligned, which would prevent damage to the track and wheel assemblies, thus reducing maintenance costs.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,758 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

6. Applicant's arguments filed 26 June 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Applicant argues that no reasoning was given for how the laid open reference 56-95983 meets the limitations of claim 2. However, it should be noted that this is not the case, as sufficient motivation was provided in the rejection (see paragraph 2 of section 4 above, which is a repeat of the original rejection of the previous office action).

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/568,758

Art Unit: 3617

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason R. Bellinger whose telephone number is 571-272-6680. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs (9:00-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jason R Bellinger/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617